IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE BILAL NAZKI

WRIT PETITION NO : 29014 of 1995

Between:

1 Chikram Kotmi Bai W/o. Sham Rao
R/o. Buthapur Adilabad Dist
2 Dousanya Lakshma Reddy W/o. Lacha Reddy
R/o. Buthapur Adilabad Dist
3 Godhavari W/o. Venkataramana
R/o. Buthapur Adilabad Dist

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Revenue Divisional Officer
Adilabad, Adilabad Dist.
2 The Mandal Revenue Officer
Adilabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ or writs, order or direction, declaring the action of the respondents in seeking to evict the petitioners from their house plots forming part of Sy.No.31-G, near LIC building, Bhuktapur Village, Adilabad District as illegal, arbitrary and without jurisdiction by directing the respondents to forthwith grant patta certificates to the petitioners in respect of the said plots and award costs.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.H.VENUGOPAL

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following ORDER:

Heard leaned counsel for the parties.

The writ petition has been filed seeking a direction that pattas should be granted to the petitioners with respect to the land in their possession and over which they have constructed houses.

The respondents in their counter stated that the land was a government land classified as gairan land and the petitioners were not eligible to be granted patta certificates. It is also stated that the land was earmarked by virtue of G.O.Ms.No.508, dated 20-10-1995 for construction of houses to the Class-IV employees and government drivers' association building.

The earmarking of the land for construction of houses to the Class-IV employees and government drivers' association building has also not been challenged before this Court. In these circumstances, the only direction that can be given to the respondents is to consider the representation of the petitioners as well.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

(Bilal Nazki,J)

24th March, 2005

vrn.

To

1 The Revenue Divisional Officer
Adilabad, Adilabad Dist.
2 The Mandal Revenue Officer
Adilabad.

3 Two C.Cs. to the Government Pleader for Revenue, High Court

of A.P., Hyderabad, (OUT).

4 2 CD copies

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**PRASAD**}*